MINUTES OF THE REVIEW COMMITTEE

A meeting of the Review Committee to consider the appeal received from the students against the punishment awarded by the Examination Disciplinary Committee (EDC) for use of unfairmeans (UFM) during Annual/Semester Examinations- 2014-2015 was held on 26.10.2015 at 11:30 A.M. in the office of the Dean (Examinations).

The following were present: -

S. No.	Name of the Member		
1.	Prof. Satwanti Kapoor, Proctor	T _æ	Chairperson
2.	Prof. Ashwani Kumar Bansal, Dean, Faculty o	of Law	*
3.	Prof. Devesh Kumar Sinha, Dean, Faculty of S	Science	
4.	Dr. Gurpreet Singh Tuteja, Dy. Dean - Nomin	iee of De	ean Students Welfare

Dean, Faculty of Social Science, Head, Department of Mathematics, Prof. Rup Lal, Dean (Examinations) and O.S.D. (Examinations) expressed their inability to attend the meeting due to their pre-occupation and Mr. S. Rangabashiam D.R. (Exam.-Secrecy) assisted the Committee in its deliberations.

The Committee considered the cases of the following candidates for review of the punishment awarded to them by Examination Disciplinary Committee (EDC) for use of unfair means during the Annual/Semester Examinations- 2014-2015:-

Sr. No.	Name	Case No.	Examination
1.	Sumit Rana	29/11036282101	B.Sc. Phy. Sci II Sem.
2.	Vasudha Pundhir	37-38/12033282025	B.Sc. Phy. Sci VI Sem.
3.	Neeraj Singh	31/12073210022	B. A. (Hons.) EcoII Sem.
4.	Riddhimony Ghosh	161/20408	M.A./M.Sc. Statistics-II Sem.
5.	Saikat Ghosh	163/20410	M.A./M.Sc. Statistics-II Sem.
6.	Jai Singh	196/14081213046	B. A. (Hons.) Geogles II Sem
7.	Naim	1307/12015256015	B.Sc. (Hons.) Botany-II Sem.
8.	Kartik	1324/11056282065	B.Sc. Phy. SciIII Sem.
9.	Aalok	1325/11056282072	B.Sc. Phy. Sci III Sem.
10.	Pankaj Kumar	1327/11056282096	B.Sc. Phy. Sci III Sem.
11.	Manu	1328/11056282111	B.Sc. Phy. Sci III Sem.
12.	Ajay Kumar	1334/12056282129	B.Sc. Phy. Sci III Sem.
13.	Sandeep Kumar	1347-1330/11056282811	B.Sc. Phy. Sci V Sem.
14.	Kuldeep Singh Meena	1364/130058	LL.B. – VI Term

All the candidates appeared before the Review Committee for personal tearing.

The Committee considered the case with reference to the documents in the records including the report of the Centre Superintendent, Experts'/Examiners' Report on the material recovered from the candidate, statement given by the candidate at the time of the incident, the reply received in response to Show Cause notice served to the candidate, the observations of the duly constituted Examinations Disciplinary Committee (s) and the review petitions filed by the candidate.

aminations Disciplinary Committee (s) and the re

Contd.....2/-

28/10/18

Sr. No.	Name	Case No./ Roll No.	Examination	Punishment Revised, if	Reason for revision, if revised
1.	Sumit Rana	29/11036282101	B.Sc. Phy. Sci. – II Sem.	C to B	Number of additional sheet not mentioned in main sheet. Candidate stated that additional sheet is not his.
2.	Vasudha Pundhir	37-38/ 12033282025	B.Sc. Phy. Sci. – VI Sem.	B to B (No Change)	-
3.	Neeraj Singh	81/ 12073210022	B. A. (Hons.) EcoII Sem.	C to B	The Candidate honestly admitted the fault and was very remorseful. The committee took the lenient view and reduced the punishment keeping in view the future of student.
4.	Riddhimony Ghosh	161/20408	M.A./M.Sc. Statistics-II Sem.	C to C (No Change)	± .
5.	Saikat Ghosh	163/20410	M.A./M.Sc. Statistics-II Sem.	C to B	The Candidate honestly admitted the fault and was very remorseful. The committee took the lenient view and reduced the punishment keeping in view the future of student.
6.	Jai Singh	196/14081213046	B. A. (Hons.) GeographII Sem.	C to C (No change)	-
7.	Naim	1307/12015256015	B.Sc. (Hons.) Botany-II Sem.	C to B	The Candidate honestly admitted the fault and was very remorseful. The committee took the lenient view and reduced the punishment keeping in view the future of student.
8.	Kartik	1324/11056282065	B.Sc. Phy. SciIII Sem.	D to C	Since he/she is not a repeated offender, and following the clause of natural justice and his/her remorsefulness to his/her act, the committee took the lenient view and reduced the punishment keeping in view the future of student.
9.	Aalok	1325: 1056282072	B.Sc. Phy. Sci. – III Sem.	D to C	Since he/she is not a repeated offender, and following the clause of natural justice and his/her remorsefulness to his/her act, the committee took the lenient view and reduced the punishment keeping in view the future of student.

1.0	D 1 1 77				illexule - 11.04
10.	Pankaj Kumar		B.Sc. Phy. Sci. – III Sem.	D to BD	The evidence not relevant and sufficient. Granted benefit of doubt.
11.	Manu	1328/11056282111	B.Sc. Phy. Sci. – III Sem.	D to C	Since he/she is not a repeated offender, and following the clause of natural justice and his/her remorsefulness to his/her act, the committee took the lenient view and reduced the punishment keeping in view the future of student.
12.	Ajay Kumar	1334/12056282129	B.Sc. Phy. Sci. – III Sem.	D to C	Since he/she is not a repeated offender, and following the clause of natural justice and his/her remorsefulness to his/her act, the committee took the lenient view and reduced the punishment keeping in view the future of student.
13.	Sandeep Kumar	1347-1330/ 11056282811	B.Sc. Phy. Sci V Sem.	D to D (No Change)	Since he is a repeated offender, the committee decided not to change the punishment
14.	Kuldeep Singh Meena	1364/130058	LL.B. – VI Term	C to C (No Change)	Punishment already undergone. Exam taken in August 2015 & result declared will stand.

The meeting ended with a vote of thanks to the Chair.

Chairperson S.R.

Date of EC.....

MINUTES OF THE REVIEW COMMITTEE

A meeting of the Review Committee to consider the appeal received from the students against the punishment awarded by the Examination Disciplinary Committee (EDC) for use of unfairmeans (UFM) during Annual/Semester Examinations 2015 was held on 28.12.2015 at 3:00 p.m. in the office of the Dean (Examinations). The following were present:

S. No.	Name of the Member	
1	Duef Catyunti Vancar Dreator	Chai
1.		Chairperson
2.	Prof. J.M. Khurana, Dean Students Welfare	
3.	Prof. Christel R. Devadawson, Head, Deptt. of English	E 1
4.	Prof. Sunil Kumar, Head, Deptt. of History	
5.	Prof. Ravi Chandran, Head, Deptt. of Mathematics	
6.	Dr. J. Jena, Nominee of Director, NSIT	
7.	Sh. O.P. Sharma, Nominee of Dean, Faculty of Law	

The Dean (Examination) and O.S.D. (Examination) could not attend the meeting and Shri S. Rangabashiam, Dy. Registrar (Secrecy) assisted the Committee in its deliberations.

The Committee considered the case of the following candidates for review of the punishment awarded by Examination Disciplinary Committee for use of unfair means during the examinations held in May/ June, 2015:-

Sr. No.	Name	Case No./Roll No.	Examination
1.	Navdeep Jangra	77/4162442063	B.Sc. (H) Maths SemIV
2.	Pavas Dixit	88/12041211031	B.A. (H) English Sem- IV
3.	Sumit	105/14073218057	B.A. (H) History Sem-II
4.	Mitthan Lal	168-A/101466	LL.B. –II
5.	Asmit Kumar	189/429/IC/11	B.E. End Sem.

All the candidates appeared before the Review Committee for personal hearing.

The Committee considered the cases with reference to personal hearing and the documents in the records including the report of the Centre Superintendent, Experts'/Examiners' Report on the material recovered from the candidates, statement given by the candidates at the time of the incident, the reply received in response to Show Cause notice served to the candidates, the observations of the duly constituted Examinations Disciplinary Committee (s) and the review petitions filed by the candidates.

Contd......2/-



2 -

The outcome of deliberations of the committee are as under: -

Sr. No.	Name	Case No./Roll No.	Examination	Punishment Revised, if any	Reason for revision, if
1,	Navdeep Jangra	77/4162442063	B.Sc. (H) Maths SemIV	No Change	revised
2.	Pavas Dixit	88/12041211031	B.A. (H) English Sem- IV	Withheld	For want of access to his cellphone
3.	Sumit	105/1407321805 7	B.A. (H) History Sem-II	No Change	- ;
4.	Mitthan Lal	168-A/101466	LL.B. –II	No Change	-
5.	Asmit Kumar	189/429/IC/11	B.E. End	C to B	The candidate
•			Sem.		honestly admitted the fault and was very remorseful.
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		The Committee took the lenient
					view and reduced the punishment keeping in view
					the future of the student.

The meeting ended with a vote of thanks to the Chair.

ŕ

A meeting of the Review Committee to consider the appeal received from the students against the punishment awarded by the Examination Disciplinary Committee (EDC) for use of unfairmeans (UFM) during Annual/Semester Examinations 2015 was held on 22.02.2016 at 3:00 p.m. in the office of the Dean (Examinations).

The following were present: -

S. No.	Name of the Member)
1.	Prof. Satwanti Kapoor, Proctor	- Chairperson
2.	Prof. J.P. Dubey, Dean, Faculty of Social Sci	iences
3.	Dr. Gurpreet Singh Tuteja, Dy. Dean - Nom	inee of Dean Students Welfare
4.	Prof. Madan Lal, Nominee of Head, Departm	

The Dean (Examination) and O.S.D. (Examination) could not attend the meeting and Shri S. Rangabashiam, Dy. Registrar (Secrecy) assisted the Committee in its deliberations.

The Committee considered the case of the following candidates for review of the punishment awarded by Examination Disciplinary Committee for use of unfair means during Annual/Semester- Examinations 2015:-

Sr. No.	Name	Case No./Roll No.	Examination
1.	Pavas Dixit	88/12041211031	B.A. (H) English
			Sem- IV
2.	Ajit Singh Arora	706-708/4650510	B.Com-II
3.	Sandeep Kumar	866/4712028	B.Com-II
4.	Karan	951-52/4716380	B.Com-II
5.	Gaurav Sahu	1188/2012322	B.Com-III
6.	Prince Kumar Jha	2047-48/12086201143	B.A. (Prog.)-VI
7.	Neetu	4262/5183255	B.A. (Prog.)-III
8.	Renu	4263/5183258	B.A. (Prog.)-III
9.	Varsha Pawar	4417/5235800	B.A. (Prog.)-III
10.	Pappu Yadav	4455/5250826	B.A. (Prog.)-III
11.	Sanjay Kumar Pawar	4505/5251809	B.A. (Prog.)-III
12.	Jagdish Chand Juyal	4586-87/5256125	B.A. (Prog.)-III
13.	Javed Mansuri	4605-06/5256297	B.A. (Prog.)-III

All the candidates except at Sl.No.6 and 12 appeared before the Review Committee for personal hearing.

The Committee considered the cases with reference to personal hearing and the documents on record including the report of the Centre Superintendent, Experts'/Examiners' Report on the material recovered from the candidates, statement given by the candidates at the time of the incident, the reply received in response to Show Cause notice served to the candidates, the observations of the duly constituted Examinations Disciplinary Committee (s) and the review petitions filed by the candidates.

S.P.

Contd......2/-

The outcome of deliberations of the committee are as under: -

E.C. 28.02.2017

	M Minham	-1-	·	1	Annexure - 11.04 Reason for revision, if
Sr.	Name 7	Case No./Roll	Examination	Punishment	Keason for revision, if
No.		No.		Revised, if	reviseu
			D.A. (II)	A to BD	The material is not relevant
1.	Pavas Dixit	88/12041211031	B.A. (H)	A to BD	and has not been used by the
			English Sem- IV		candidate
	Alit Simola	706-708/4650510	B.Com-II	C to B	The Candidate honestly
2.	Ajit Singh Arora	/00-/00/4030310	J D.COM-II		admitted the fault and was
	Alora	•			very remorseful. The
		·			committee took the lenient
		4			view and moderation in
		N. F.			punishment was granted
*	,	33			keeping in view the future of
					student.
3.	Sandeep	866/4712028	B.Com-II	No Change	The decision of the
	Kumar				Examination Disciplinary
	,)	Committee is upheld
4.	Karan	951-52/4716380	B.Com-II	No Change	The decision of the
					Examination Disciplinary Committee is upheld
·		1.000010000	D.C. III	C+- D	The Candidate honestly
5.	Gaurav Sahu	188/2012322	B.Com-III	C to B	admitted the fault and was
					very remorseful. The
	·				committee took the lenient
	,				view and moderation in
			1		punishment was granted
				ļ.	keeping in view the future of
	·			i i	student. He also informed the
		1.1			Committee under the condition
					of anonymity that cheating
		*			was rampart in that Centre.
6.	Prince Kumar	2047-	B.A. (Prog.)-VI	No Change	The candidate did not appear
	Jha	48/12086201143			before the Committee and the
	·		,		Committee decided to uphold
					the decision.
7.	Neetu	4262/5183255	B.A. (Prog.)-III	A to BD	The material is not relevant
					and has not been used by the candidate. The Committee
					candidate. The Committee decided to procure the second
		•			answersheet from the
	.]			:	concerned examination branch
•					for evaluation.
<u> </u>	Dago	4263/5183258	B.A. (Prog.)-III	A to BD	The material is not relevant
8.	Renu	4203/3103230	D.A. (110g.)-111	11000	and has not been used by the
					candidate. The Committee
	.				decided to procure the second
	,	i (j			answersheet from the
					concerned examination branch
		•	Í		for evaluation. She also
*7	·				informed the Committee under
	ļ				condition of anonymity that
					students were cheating in her
					Centre in the presence of
					invigilator

(3)

,			4.5	4	· · ·
9.	Varsha Pawar	4417/5235800	B.A. (Prog.)-III	A to BD	The material is not relevant
		-			and has not been used by the
		ļ.,.,.,			candidate
10.	Pappu Yadav	4455/5250826	B.A. (Prog.)-III	A to BD	The material (a bio-data of the
		}			candidate and his brother) is
		`		•	not relevant and has not been
					used by the candidate The
				ļ	Committee also resolved to get
	· ·	,		. •	the matter investigated as to
				•	why such a case was
		,			forwarded to Examination
		,			Wing.
11.	Sanjay Kumar	4505/5251809	B.A. (Prog.)-III	A to BD	The material is not relevant
	Pawar	,			and has not been used by the
1]	d			candidate. The Committee
	-		ļ	•	decided to procure the second
		·			answersheet from the
					concerned examination branch
	,				for evaluation
12.	Jagdish Chand	4586-87/5256125	B.A. (Prog.)-III	No Change	The decision of the
	Juyal	1	·		Examination Disciplinary
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Committee is upheld
13.	Javed Mansuri	4605-06/5256297	B.A. (Prog.)-III	No Change	The decision of the
					Examination Disciplinary
					Committee is upheld

During the course of the meeting and interaction with various candidates a number of unethical practices of the Examination Centres of SOL has come to fore. The Committee in its collective wisdom resolved to recommend blacklisting of the following Centres:

- 1. S.K.V. Soami Nagar, Chirag Delhi, New Delhi-110017.
- 2. Shaheed Udham Singh Public School, Shastri Nagar, A-Block, Near Gulabi Bagh, Delhi-110052.

The Committee also strongly felt that in case of UFM where second sheet was issued, must be attached with the original answersheet. Before granting Examination Centre, the University Examination Branch must ensure a proper orientation of the Superintendent, Deputy Superintendent and Invigilators about the procedure to be followed while making an UFM case so that the future of examinee is not compromised. With regard to the two Centres of candidates at Sl.No.5 and 8 i.e. S.K.V. Soami Nagar, Chirag Delhi, New Delhi-110017 and Shaheed Udham Singh Public School, Shastri Nagar, A-Block, Near Gulabi Bagh, Delhi-110052, Examination Wing must examine the credentials carefully and thoroughly and take action accordingly so as not to tarnish the image of our prestigious University.

The meeting ended with a vote of thanks to the Chair.

Jairperson -

दिल्ली विश्वविद्यालय UNIVERSITY OF DELHI

E.C. 28.02.2017... Annexure - 11.04 No. ई.सी. की तिथि/Date of EC......

MINUTES OF THE REVIEW COMMITTEE

A meeting of the Review Committee to consider the appeal received from the students against the punishment awarded by the Examination Disciplinary Committee (EDC) for use of unfairmeans (UFM) during Annual/Semester Examinations 2015 was held on 13.05.2016 at 3:00 p.m. in the office of the Dean (Examinations).

The following were present: -

S. No.	Name of the Member
1.	Prof. Satwanti Kapoor, Proctor - Chairperson
2.	Prof. S.C. Raina, Dean, Faculty of Law
3.	Prof. B.K. Dass, Dean, Faculty of Technology
4.	Prof. K. Devesh Sinha, Dean, Faculty of Science
5.	Dr. Gurpreet Singh Tuteja, Dy. Dean - Nominee of Dean Students Welfare
6.	Prof. Madan Lal, Nominee of Head, Department of Commerce
7.	Shri Raju Nair, Dy. Registrar, SDC

The Dean (Examination) and Dean, Faculty of Arts could not attend the meeting due to their preoccupation and Shri S. Rangabashiam, Dy. Registrar (Secrecy) assisted the Committee in its

The Committee considered the case of the following candidates for review of the punishment awarded by Examination Disciplinary Committee for use of unfair means during Annual/Semester-Examinations 2015:-

Sr. No.	Name	Case No./Roll No.	Examination
1.	Alka Yadav	9850/4179448042	B. A. (H) Economics – V Sem.
2.	Jaya Das	1129/5021471	B. Com - II
3.	Manisha	1130/5021522	B. Com - III
4.	Anmoll Kumar Jain	1408/24EC/12	B.E. End Sem. VII Sem.
5.	Rohit Sharma	1446/4125441053	B. Tech. Comp. SciV Sem.
6	Nisha	1495/12040263029	B. Sc. Math. Sem. – V Sem.
7.	Harender Kumar	4905/5278996	B. A. (Prog) - III
8.	Amrit Onkar Singh Bhatia	1512/142378	LL.B III Term
9.	Deepak Jaglan	1513/142517	LL.B. – III Term
10.	Sanoj Kumar Kulkarni	1520/990416	LL. B. – V Term
11.	Gaurav Koli	1424/4173448028	B. A. (H) Economics
12.	Mitthan Lal	168A/101466	LL.B II Term

All the candidates except at Sl.No.3 appeared before the Review Committee for personal hearing.

The Committee considered the cases with reference to personal hearing and the documents on record including the report of the Centre Superintendent, Experts'/Examiners' Report on the material recovered from the candidates, statement given by the candidates at the time of the incident, the reply 3564 received in response to

Show Cause notice served to the candidates, the observations of the duly constituted Examinations Disciplinary Committee (s) and the review petitions filed by the candidates.

The outcome of deliberations of the committee are as under:

दिल्ली विश्वविद्यालय UNIVERSITY OF DELHI

Sr. No.	Name	Case No./Roll No.	Examination	Punishment Revised, if any	Reason for revision, if revised
1.	Alka Yadav	9850/4179448042	B. A. (H) Economics – V Sem.	A to Exhoneration (Benefit of doubt given to	According to expert report, no copying was done.
		2		exhonerated)	
2.	Jaya Das	1129/5021471	B. Com - II	No Change	Taking into account all the material on
		20 B		(A to A)	record and hearing the
		4			candidate in-person
		\$ 5 a		Part of	again, the Committee
P 14					upholds the quantum
		to at X	s exa p		of punishment.
					Earlier her case was
		87 B A 30			held in qbeyance.
				77.5 S	However, her
	21				documents have been
-	2	350			checked and there is
	*	G 18 31	14 - 45 15	P .	no change in punishment.
3.	Manisha	.1130/5021522	B. Com - III	A to	The material has not
		12	9	Exhoneration	been used by the
P		0.8 X 2		(Benefit of	candidate.
			8	doubt given to	ti ti
			=	candidate and	
1			05510	exhonerated) A to	The material has no
4.	Anmoll	1408/24EC/12	B.E. End Sem.	Exhoneration	been used by the
	Kumar Jain		VII Selli.	(Benefit of	candidate.
				doubt given to	2.
		9		candidate and	
		a)		exhonerated)	1
5.	Rohit	1446/4125441053	B. Tech.	A to	The material is no
	Sharma	III	Comp. SciV	Exhoneration	relevant and has no
			Sem.	(Benefit of	been used by the
-			2	doubt given to candidate and	04
			1.0	exhonerated)	from Dyslexia.
		1.05/100/100/2000	B. Sc. Math.	A to	The material has no
6.	Nisha	1495/12040263029	Sem. – V Sem		been used by the
a de la constanta de la consta	- 45	0 26	OCIII. V COIII	(Benefit of	candidate.
			22	doubt given to	
E .			F3	candidate and	8
1		Si .		exhonerated)	
7.	Harender	4905/5278996	B. A. (Prog) -	A to	The material is n
	Kumar	1	111	Exhoneration	relevant and has n
	= :		14	(Benefit of	been used by the candidate.
				doubt given to	Dia India in the Control of the Cont
		9, ** × ·		exhonerated)	
8.	Amrit	1512/142378	LL.B. – III	No Change	Taking into accou
8.	Onkar	1,	Term	(C to C)	all the material
	Singh	a		1	record and hearing t
	Bhatia	*		ē.	candidate in-pers
	Diracia				again, the Committee
		350	65 -		upholds the quanti
1					of punishment.

C8-

X-VI

D.U.P.-129-06-15-2500P X 100

दिल्लो विश्वविद्यालय UNIVERSITY OF DELHI

....3.....

F		Deepak	1513/142517	LL.B. – III	No Change	Taking into account
	9.	Jaglan	1313/12317	Term	(C to C)	all the material on
	2.	oug.a		9 0	(0.10.0)	record and hearing
			*	U.		the candidate in-
ŀ						person again, the
1			2 1			Committee upholds
		318				the quantum of
				s 6	8 25	punishment.
					9	
		1.5		19		No see at 11 see
-	10.	Sanoj	1520/990416	LL. B. – V	No Change	Taking imo account
	19	Kumar		Term	(C to C)	all the material on
	. 1	Kulkarni			(0:00)	record and hearing
		ranam				the candidate in-
					·	person again, the
			Es P			Committee upholds
					**· **	the quantum of
			a a		Đ (I)	punishment
f	11.	Gaurav	1424/4173448028	B. A. (H)	C to A	The candidate
		Koli	1424/41/3446026	Economics	CION	honestly admitted the
		ROII		LCOHOITICS	6	fault and was very
		_	,			remorseful. The
				=	1.0	Committee took a
1	*	(a %)		a .	25	lenient view and
		=				reduced the
					*	punishment keeping
-					ri .	in view the future of
		- T				the student.
-	12	N Ailthia and	170 3 /101 477	II D II Tama	NI- Ol-	The case of Mr.
1	12.	Mitthan Lal	168A/101466	LL.B II Term	No Change	Contractor Contractor Contractor
		Lal	,		(CtoC)	Mitthan Lal was re-
1					2)	examined pursuant to the directions of the
						the Hon'ble High
				9		Court of Delhi. Mr.
						Mitthan Lal also
			2.0		=	appeared before the
						Committee. Taking into account all the
						material on record
			起		· ·	AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER
				*		and hearing the
				21		candidate in-person
		1.0		=		again, the Committee
i					-	upholds the quantum
						of punishment.

The meeting ended with a vote of thanks to the Chair.

Chairperson