



EXISTING	AMENDMENTS PROPOSED
<p><b>CLAUSE 2</b></p> <p><b>Sub Clause 5 (b) <i>Machine Tabulation</i></b></p> <p>The results of the examination processed on computer will be checked by two Tabulators independently and final results compiled after comparison of the two result statements. Each result statement will then be scrutinized by two scrutineers, independently.</p>	<p>The processing of the examination shall be done by two vendors engaged by the approval of the competent authority. The vendors shall process the result on the basis of the awards received from the Central Evaluation Centre or from a person authorized for the same. The computerized tabulation data prepared by the two vendors shall be then matched before the final tabulation and gazette is prepared for publication of result.</p> <p>Since the data shall also be stored in DBF and PDF format, the printing and binding of two sets of tabulation and gazette as is in practice, will not serve any significant purpose. It is therefore, proposed that one set of tabulation prepared by one vendor shall be preserved in printed form while one set of the second vendor shall be preserved as soft copy for future use. The proposed arrangement will not only consume less space for the storage of the tabulations but also restrain the use of manpower, paper cartridge and other stationery being used for the purpose.</p> <p>The above amendments have been proposed in view of the ongoing semester system of examination, since under the semester system, the time gap between the two examinations is much less i.e. nearly 4 months which makes the task more difficult for the examination wing in the sense that the examination wing has to go through the whole examination process twice.</p>