E.C. (3)-28.02.2017/07.03.2017 Appendix-LXXXVIII

EXISTING	AMENDMENTS PROPOSED
CLAUSE (1)	
Sub Clause 6 (iii): Board of Moderators for examinations pertaining to Under-graduate courses:	
There will be a separate Board of Moderators for question papers in each subject and this Board will consist of: 1. The Head of the Department concerned. 2. Two persons nominated by the Vice Chancellor from amongst the examiners in the subject concerned.	The moderation of the question papers shall be done in the respective departments. There will be a separate Board of Moderators for question papers in each subject and this Board will consist of: 1. The Head of the Department concerned 2. Two persons nominated by the Head of the Department from amongst the examiners of the Department in the subject concerned.
Sub Clause 6 (iv) : Board of Moderators for Examination Results	
As regards the under-graduate examinations, there should be one Board for each subject and this Board will consist of:	As regards the undergraduate examinations, there should be one Board for each subject and this Board will consist of:
1. The Head of the Department concerned.	The Head of the Department concerned or his nominee.
2. Two persons nominated by the Vice Chancellor from amongst the examiners in the department in the subject concerned.	2. Two persons nominated by the Head of the Department in the subject concerned (one shall be essentially required to be present in the moderation meeting)
Sub Clause 6 (v)	
There should be another committee to moderate the results in all subjects for a particular undergraduate degree. This should consist of the Deans and the Heads of the Departments concerned or his nominee.	There should be another committee to moderate the results in all subject/s for a particular undergraduate degree. This should consist of the Deans or Heads of the Departments concerned or his nominee.
Sub Clause 6 (vi)	
In the event of a particular member (including the Head of the Department) not being able to attend the meeting of the Board of Moderators, he/she will inform the Vice Chancellor in advance and the latter may appoint another person in his/her place as a member of the Board.	To be deleted

E.C. (3)-28.02.2017/07.03.2017 Appendix-LXXXVIII

CLAUSE 2

Machine Tabulation Sub Clause 5 (b)

The results of the examination processed on computer will be checked by two Tabulators independently and final results compiled after comparison of the two result statements. Each result statement will then be scrutinized by two scrutineers, independently.

EXISTING

AMENDMENTS PROPOSED

The processing of the examination shall be done by two vendors engaged by the approval of the competent authority. The vendors shall process the result on the basis of the awards received from the Central Evaluation Centre or from a person authorized for the same. The computerized tabulation data prepared by the two vendors shall be then matched before the final tabulation and gazette is prepared for publication of result.

Since the data shall also be stored in DBF and PDF format, the printing and binding of two sets of tabulation and gazette as is in practice, will not serve any significant purpose. It is therefore, proposed that one set of tabulation prepared by one vendor shall be preserved in printed form while one set of the second vendor shall be preserved as soft copy for future use. The proposed arrangement will not only consume less space for the storage of the tabulations but also restrain the use of manpower, paper cartridge and other stationery being used for the purpose.

The above amendments have been proposed in view of the ongoing semester system of examination, since under the semester system, the time gap between the two examinations is much less i.e. nearly 4 months which makes the task more difficult for the examination wing in the sense that the examination wing has to go through the whole examination process twice.